Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services Richmond, Virginia

Tuning Fork Laboratory Quality Manual Checklist

Facil	ity Name:				LAB ID:
REFERENCE DOCUMENT DCLS #2364 REV. 6 (07/07/2020): PROTOCOL FOR THE CERTIFICATION OF LABORATORIES PERFORMING CERTIFICATION OF TUNING FORKS LABORATORY INSTRUCTIONS RECORD THE QUALITY MANUAL OR SOP PAGE NUMBER AND SECTION NUMBER IN THE "LABORATORY REFERENCE" COLUMN INDICATING WHERE EACH REQUIREMENT CAN BE FOUND. RETURN THIS COMPLETED FORM TO DCLS PRIOR TO THE ON-SITE EVALUATION ALONG WITH A CURRENT COPY OF THE QUALITY MANUAL.					
		ertification of Laboratories Performing Certification following information must be included or referenced	_		ction V.B.
#	Protocol Reference	Quality Manual Requirement	Laboratory Reference	Document	S INTERNAL USE ONLY Assessor's Notes
	0) / 5 /			Compliant	Assessor s notes
1	§V.B.1	Company name and address			
2	§V.B.2	Statements affirming the laboratory's commitments to quality assurance and data integrity			
3	§V.B.3	Minimum personnel qualifications including education and any specialized training in communications electronics, radar calibration and repair, or frequency measurement			
4	§V.B.4	Log of printed names, handwritten initials and signatures of all laboratory personnel authorized to perform tuning fork testing, data review, and/or certificate notarization			
5	§V.B.5	List of all testing equipment—including manufacturer, model, and serial number—used in the certification procedure			
6	§V.B.6	Information describing the accuracy, range and reproducibility for each instrument and item of support equipment used for the testing and certification of tuning forks			
7	§V.B.7	Corrective Action Policy for response when instrumentation fails to meet fitness for use acceptance criteria			
8	§V.B.8	Schedules for instrument calibration and maintenance including requirements for documenting calibration and maintenance			
9	§V.B.9	Description of circumstances that would require recertification of reference tuning forks			

Document #:6957 Revision: 9

Notes/Comments

Date Published: 9/21/2020 10:57:25 AM Issuing Authority: Group Manager

	Protocol		Laboratory	DCLS INTERNAL USE ONLY				
#	Reference	Quality Manual Requirement	Laboratory Reference	Document Compliant	Assessor's Notes			
10	§V.B.10	Description of processes and procedures for ensuring traceability of measurements to nationally recognized standards						
and	Description of procedures being performed, equipment being used, calculations, and examples, adjustments (if any), and references. This information may be included in the Quality Manual or may be a separate Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). At a minimum, the information shall include [Section V.B.11]:							
12	§V.B.11.a	Sample receiving and tracking procedures						
13	§V.B.11.b	Sample Rejection Policy describing the circumstances under which a tuning fork would not be accepted for testing						
14	§V.B.11.c	Procedures for labeling and disposition of rejected tuning forks						
15	§V.B.11.d	Instructions for instrument setup, fitness for use testing and documentation, and acceptance criteria						
		octions to include striking the tuning fork on a nonme observed frequency.	tallic object	and waiting	g for a stable output before			
		nd criteria for testing tuning forks submitted by law tresults, <u>to include</u> [Section V.B.11.e]:	/ enforceme	nt agencie	s for certification and			
16	§V.B.11.e.i	Time allowed for tuning forks to come to temperature equilibrium prior to testing						
17	§V.B.11.e.ii	Reference tuning forks tested prior to beginning testing and at the conclusion of each day on which testing occurred						
18	§V.B.11.e.iii	Frequency of oscillation of each reference tuning fork shall be within ±0.5% of that specified by the manufacturer or the most recent independent certification						
19	§V.B.11.e.iv	Temperature of the test environment recorded prior to testing each sample set and at the end of the sample set						
20	§V.B.11.e.v	Temperature of the test environment not less than 20°C (68°) and not greater than 30°C (86°).						
	NOTE: A laboratory should consider monitoring humidity at the testing site if the possibility of exceeding 10%-85% is suspected.							
21	§V.B.11.e.vi	At least 2 frequency observations recorded and averaged for the calculation of MPH						
22	§V.B.11.e.vii	A description of calculations used with sufficient detail to ensure the report produced by the analyst can be verified by reconstructing the calculation						
Notes/Comments								

Title: Tuning Fork Laboratory Quality Manual Checklist Document #:6957
Revision: 9
Date Published: 9/21/2020 10:57:25 AM
Issuing Authority: Group Manager

# Reference Quality Manual Requirement Reference Compliant Assessor's Notes		Brotocol		Loborotony	DCLS INTERNAL USE ONLY	
	#	Protocol Reference	Quality Manual Requirement	Reference		Assessor's Notes

Operating frequencies and calculations

K band: 24,050 MHz

K band speed, mph = Average observed frequency (Hz) / 72.0301

Ka band: 33,400 MHz to 36,000 MHz

Ka band speed, mph = Average observed frequency (Hz) / (2.983135 x nominal microwave frequency, GHz)

Nominal Ka Microwave frequencies by manufacturer:

Decatur / KSI: 35.5 GHz

MPH: 33.8 GHzStalker: 34.7 GHz

23	§V.B.11.e.vii	Each page of test documentation dated and initialed by the analyst					
Pro	Processes for reviewing and reporting test data and calculations, to include [Section V.B.11.f, g]:						
24	§V.B.11.f.i	Verification that measurements of the reference tuning forks were within the acceptance criteria of ±0.5% of the most recent certified values					
25	§V.B.11.f.ii	Review of the new certificate generated by the lab against the raw test data and sample submission information for each tuning fork tested					
26	§V.B.11.f.iii	Data review documented with date and initials of reviewer					
27	§V.B.11.f.iv	Final reports notarized					
28	§V.B.11.g	Processes for customer notification as well as labeling and disposition of tuning forks that fail the certification testing					
29	§V.B.12.a	Training Goal - a concise statement identifying the overall training goal and results expected					
30	§V.B.12.b	Learning Objectives - a clear statement of the capabilities expected of the technician upon completion of the training					
31	§V.B.12.c	Learning Methods and/or Activities - specific actions facilitating the achievement of the learning objectives					
32	§V.B.12.d	Documentation - evidence, with signatures and dates, that the learning activities were performed and evaluated					
33	§V.B.12.e	Criteria - specific measures and criteria indicating the effectiveness of the training					
Notes/Comments							

Title: Tuning Fork Laboratory Quality Manual Checklist

Document #:6957 Revision: 9

Date Published: 9/21/2020 10:57:25 AM Issuing Authority: Group Manager

			Laboratory Reference	DCLS INTERNAL USE ONLY				
#	Protocol Reference	Quality Manual Requirement		Document Compliant	Assessor's Notes			
34	§V.B.12.f	Evaluation - an assessment of the documentation against the criteria to determine whether the learning objectives were achieved, or whether additional training may be necessary						
tes	A Demonstration of Capability (DOC) procedure that outlines a procedure for establishing technician competence in testing and establishes acceptance criteria for the evaluation of analyst capability. [Section V.B.13] Each technician employed by a commercial facility shall perform a demonstration of capability as follows:							
35	§V.B.13.a.i	Perform a minimum of 20 consecutive frequency observations of each reference tuning fork						
36	§V.B.13.a.ii	Calculate the mean and standard deviation of each data set						
		minimum, the frequency of oscillation of each referenc curer or the most recent independent certification. [§V.		shall be wi	thin ±0.5% of that specified by			
Red	cordkeeping Po	olicies and Practices [Section V.B.14]						
37	§V.B.14.a	Record Retention Policy						
38	§V.B.14.b	Documentation practices						
39	§V.B.14.c	Procedures for ensuring the security and integrity of electronic records						
40	§V.B.15	A sample copy of a certificate issued to customers as it relates to tuning fork certification						
41	§V.B.16	Change sheet to allow historic reconstruction of changes to the Quality Manual						
42	§V.B.17	Annual Review and signature sheet						
Notes/Comments								
	DCLS Assessor Review			v				
			Initials:		Date:			

Return completed checklist to DCLS (Richmond, VA) with Quality Manual

METHOD CHECKLISTS ARE AN INTERVIEW TOOL USED BY ASSESSORS. ASSESMENT TO COMPLIANCE WITH THIS METHOD MAY REQUIRE REFERENCE TO THE PUBLISHED METHOD FOR ADDITIONAL DETAIL. REFER TO FULL PUBLISHED METHOD WHENEVER INTERNAL AUDITS ARE DONE. THE PUBLISHED METHOD MAY INCLUDE STATEMENTS REGARDING EXPECTED LABORATORY PRACTICES (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO PHRASES DESIGNATED WITH "SHOULD") WHICH MAY NOT BE CAPTURED IN THIS CHECKLIST. CHECKLISTS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE. PLEASE NOTIFY DCLS IMMEDIATELY BY EMAIL OF ANY IDENTIFIED ERRORS OR OMISSIONS. (Lab_Cert@dgs.virginia.gov)

Title: Tuning Fork Laboratory Quality Manual Checklist

Document #:6957 Revision: 9

Date Published: 9/21/2020 10:57:25 AM Issuing Authority: Group Manager